



FORM PTO-1083

Case Docket No. PD-8811FWCDate: June 26, 1992In re Application of Michio Asahina  
Serial No. 07/780,455

Filed: October 22, 1991

For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED

JUL 09 1992

GROUP 250

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an amendment/response in the above-identified application.

- \_\_\_ Small entity status of this application under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 has been established by a verified statement previously submitted.
- \_\_\_ A verified statement to establish small entity status under 37 CFR 1.9 and 1.27 is enclosed.
- XX No additional fee is required.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

	(Col. 1) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(Col. 2) HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(Col. 3) SMALL PRESENT EXTRA	SMALL RATE	ENTITY ADDIT. FEE OR	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY ADDIT. RATE FEE
TOTAL	* 24 MINUS ** 24	= 0	x10	\$	OR	x20 \$
INDEP CLAIMS	* 4 MINUS *** 4	= 0	x36	\$	OR	x72 \$
___ FIRST PRESENTATION OF MULTIPLE DEP. CLAIM			+110	\$	OR	+220 \$
		TOTAL		\$	OR	TOTAL \$ 0

- \* If the entry in Col. 1 is less than the entry in Col. 2, write "0" in Col. 3.
- \*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 20, write "20" in this space.
- \*\*\* If the "Highest Number Previously Paid For" IN THIS SPACE is less than 3, write "3" in this space. The "Highest Number Previously Paid For" (Total or Independent) is the highest number found from the equivalent box on Col. 1 of a prior amendment or the number of claims originally filed.

\_\_\_ Please charge my Deposit Account No. 19-3725 the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

\_\_\_ A check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the extension fee is enclosed.

\_\_\_ A check in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ to cover the filing fee is enclosed.

\_\_\_ The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge payment of the following fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-3725. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

- \_\_\_ Any filing fees under 37 CFR 1.16 for the presentation of extra claims.
- \_\_\_ Any patent application processing fees under 37 CFR 1.17.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Jay M. Finkelstein, 21,082SPENSLEY HORN JUBAS & LUBITZ  
ATTORNEYS AT LAW  
1880 CENTURY PARK EAST, SUITE 500  
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90067  
(213) 553-5050



PATENT  
PD-8811FWC

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of )  
MICHIO ASAHINA )  
Serial No.: 07/780,455 )  
Filing Date: October 22, 1991 )  
For: SEMICONDUCTOR DEVICE )

ART GROUP UNIT: 2508  
EXAMINER: S. Loke

#31  
Flec  
w/ E

AMENDMENT

Honorable Commissioner of  
Patents & Trademarks  
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED  
JUL 09 1992  
GROUP 250

BQ  
7/9/92

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's Action dated May 27, 1992,  
kindly amend the above-identified application as follows:

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claim 24, line 2, change "d" to -- a --;  
line 8, before "structure" insert -- conductor --.

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement presented on May  
27, 1992, Applicant hereby provisionally elects invention II, claim  
23, with traverse for prosecution on the merits in this  
application.

On March 31, 1992, the Examiner issued an initial restriction  
requirement in which it was indicated that claims 23 and 24 were  
drawn to one invention. It is believed that this grouping of the  
claims, although claim 24 is, in fact, a device claim, was correct  
because the Examiner's reason for requiring restriction is not  
applicable to claim 24.